Public Service Commission of South Carolina 101 Executive Center Dr., Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

* Required Fields

Date: * 3/22/2021



Phone: 803-896-5100 Fax: 803-896-5199

www.psc.sc.gov

Save as PDF file and Email form to: contact@psc.sc.gov

Letter of Protest Docket No. 2020-229-E

Name *	Information Charles and Mary Alice Trues	dale	a niku sa	<u>m. 180 500 & 4. 5. 64 993 1948 2</u>
Mailing Addr	ess *	Factorial at an		
City, State Z	Daniel Island , SC	u	Phone *	
E-mail	A AND TRANSPORTED TO ANY THE PARTY OF THE PA		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1. What is	your connection or interest in this case?	* For example	are you a customer of the	Zompany that is the
subjecto	fiffis pending proceeding? (This section	must be completed	l. Attach additional information	tf necessary.)

We are electric and gas customers of Dominion Energy of SC. We have had solar panels since November 2018 under a tariff that expires 12/31/2025. At present rates, it will take at least 10 years to cover the net investment in the solar array considering investment tax credits. With the requested increase in charges, that payoff will be much longer (if ever). Certainly, with this request, rooftop solar will no longer be economically viable for any new customers, which seems to be the intent of DESC.

2. Please give a concise statement of your protest . (This section <u>must</u> be completed. Attach additional information if neces

I find the DESC filing for multiple increases to be greatly excessive. Their characterization of opponents of their rate increases as "subsidy-supporters" is both misleading and insulting. We are supporters of clean and sustainable energy. The solar subscription fee is especially unfair. This appears to be a punitive charge to discourage individual customers from opting to generate their own electricity. On the other hand, as an electrical engineer myself, I have never found the 1 to 1 net metering to be a viable business model for the electric company. I can only assume that was a political decision by the legislature to jumpstart the solar industry. I fully expected this would change after the initial period. I would suggest that a ratio of 75% of retail for power sent back would allow a 33% gross profit for DESC. The subscription fee should be rejected. Also, the basic facility charge increase to \$19.50 is also not needed if they are allowed to profit on the excess energy sent to the grid.

3. Do you wish to make an appearance at a hearing in this proceeding; if scheduled, and offer sworn festimony

No . I cannot attend on 3/23/21.

Signature Required: Charles W. Truesdale